

Special Session  
Central Board Minutes  
February 16, 1963

President Whitelaw called the meeting to order in the Silver Bow Room. Whitelaw read the following proposal as it then stood:  
Proposed That: A new Student Union Building be constructed and such construction be financed by a bond issue of \$1.6 million which will be retired by a Student Union Fee not to exceed \$9 per student per quarter.

This will include planning of the Student Union Building, construction of the Student Union Building, and operational costs of the Student Union.

Whitelaw suggested the adoption of a phrase which would insure student approval of the plans. The possible third paragraph that he read was as follows: IT IS UNDERSTOOD IN THE PROPOSAL THAT ANOTHER BALLOT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE STUDENTS ON OR ABOUT \_\_\_\_\_ TO APPROVE THE GENERAL PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW BUILDING. Robinson said that we should first consider whether the SU Board will adjust their plans to this vote. Dean Cogswell said that a vote of this kind is pretty binding. Dennis stated that if we were under the assumption that there is no plan yet, it would be pretty hard to limit the sum to just \$1.6 million. Whitelaw said that this was the ceiling price and Stone cautioned that the committee should make sure that their ceiling price will be high enough. Cogswell said that what we really want to limit is the fee increase and therefore needn't mention the cost of the building. DENNIS MOVED THAT THE 1.6 MILLION BE DELETED AND PUT IN PARENTHESES AS EXPLANATION STATED AS "APPROXIMATELY 1.6 MILLION". SECONDED BY BERTELSON. Dennis said that if an approximate cost of the building were not inserted the committee might exceed the sum legally, and that if the enrollment increases it could still do this with the \$9 per quarter. Whitelaw said that the logic in limiting the figure was so that we could take advantage of the increase in enrollment and pay the bonds off earlier. Dennis mentioned that it was important to limit the figure in order to appeal to those who were opposed to the other building because they felt it was too grand. Stone mentioned that a statement excluding the amount 1.6 million might read something like: "A new Student Union Building be constructed and such construction be financed by a bond issue of an amount which can be retired by a Student Union Fee not to exceed \$9.00 per student per quarter." ROBINSON MOVED THAT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THE REFERENDUM READ AS STONE READ--A NEW STUDENT UNION BUILDING BE CONSTRUCTED AND SUCH CONSTRUCTION BE FINANCED BY A BOND ISSUE OF AN AMOUNT WHICH CAN BE RETIRED BY A STUDENT UNION FEE NOT TO EXCEED \$9 PER STUDENT PER QUARTER. SECONDED BY MACDONALD. MOTION PASSED (5-3-1) WITH COLE, BERTELSON, DENNIS OPPOSED AND HASSING ABSTAINING. BERTELSON MOVED THAT WHITELAW'S PROPOSED 3RD PARAGRAPH BE ADOPTED. SECONDED BY JOHNSON. ROBINSON MOVED THAT THE REFERENDUM BE AMENDED BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH: THESE GENERAL REQUIREMENTS WILL BE DRAWN UP BY A PLANNING COMMITTEE TO BE SELECTED WITH MEMBERS TO BE CHOSEN FROM A CROSS-SECTION OF THE STUDENT BODY POPULATION. SECONDED BY SCHWANKE. Johnson asked whether this wouldn't waste the experience of the present committee, and whether the married students were well-enough organized to benefit from a representative. Bertelson suggested it would not eliminate the present members from the committee but that it might be good to have a few new ones added. MOTION CARRIED (6-5-1) WITH WOODS, BERTELSON, JOHNSON, STONE AND SCHWANKE OPPOSED AND DENNIS ABSTAINING.

Passed by CB: 16 Feb 63

**Proposed That:**

A new Student Union Building be constructed and such construction be financed by a bond issue of an amount which can be retired by a Student Union Fee not to exceed \$9.00 per student per quarter.

This Student Union Fee will include planning of the Student Union Building, construction of the Student Union Building, acquisition of land for the Student Union Building, and operational costs of the Student Union.

It is understood in the proposal that another ballot will be submitted to the students on or about \_\_\_\_\_ to approve the general physical requirements of the new building.

These general requirements will be drawn up by an expanded Student Union Planning Committee with the additional members chosen from a cross-section of the student body.

FOR \_\_\_\_\_

AGAINST \_\_\_\_\_



MACDONALD MOVED TO AMEND THE REFERENDUM BY DELETING "YET TO BE SELECTED" AND ADDING "EXPANDED PLANNING COMMITTEE WITH ADDITIONAL..." SECONDED BY ROBINSON. Robinson said he felt the wording better on this and had had no ulterior motives in his wording. MOTION CARRIED (10-0-1) WITH DENNIS ABSTAINING. MOTION TO ADOPT ENTIRE REFERENDUM AS AMENDED MADE BY BERTELSON. SECONDED BY ROBINSON. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Whitelaw said that the referendum would be held February 26, 1963.

Respectfully submitted,

*Joanne M. Hassing*  
Joanne M. Hassing,  
ASMSU SECRETARY

PRESENT: Asselstine, Stone, Ulvedal, Schwanke, MacDonald, Cole, Hassing, Whitelaw, Bertelson, Johnson, Dennis, Woods,

Central Board Minutes  
February 20, 1963

Executive Session

After the meeting had been called to order by President Ed Whitelaw, the Publications Board Report was given. BROWMAN MOVED THAT WE RECESS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION. SECONDED BY FULLER. MOTION CARRIED 13-1-1. WITH SCHWANKE OPPOSED AND WOODS ABSTAINING.

Publications Board

FULLER MOVED THAT JIM OSET BE ACCEPTED AS KAIMIN PHOTOGRAPHER. SCHWANKE SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Chairman Marge Dightman stated that the board wished to recommend Mary Louderback, Jeff Gibson, and Dean Baker for the three Associate Editor positions. In order that Baker be appointed the by-law requirement for previous experience would have to be waived. BROWMAN MOVED THAT MARY LOUDERBACK BE ACCEPTED AS AN ASSOCIATE EDITOR. SECONDED BY FULLER. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. FULLER MOVED THAT DEAN BAKER BE ACCEPTED AS ASSOICATE EDITOR. SECONDED BY SCHWANKE. Whitelaw noted that we would have to waive by-law Section 6, Kaimin "shall have served on the Kaimin staff or have 1 year practical newspaper experience." MOTION CARRIED 14-0 WITH STONE ABSTAINING. BROWMAN MOVED TO APPOINT BURMA SAXTON TO THE THIRD ASSOCIATE EDITOR POSITION. SECONDED BY JOHNSON. Browman said that although Gibson had been recommended by the Pub Board he felt Burma was more qualified since she had two summer's experience on a daily paper in Helena and seemed to be thought of as efficient and qualified. Fuller commented that he felt Gibson had done more efficiently on the Kaimin, according to some of the staff members and that another point in Gibson's favor was that as a man, he had no hours. When questioned, Printer Bowler said that both were good workers but that Wood and Holloran seemed more disposed towards Gibson because he was a male and could work longer hours. Marge Dightman said she felt the integrity of her committee and their decisions should be heavily considered also. Miss Dightman also noted that Mary Ellen Myrene had more votes from her committee than did Saxton. Bowler remarked again that since both seemed equally qualified as to ability one should look at the little things, as the hours concerned. MOTION DEFEATED (4-5-1) WITH ULVEDAL, SCHULTZ, VINOCUR, MACDONALD, HASSING, AND FULLER OPPOSED AND JOHNSON ABSTAINING. FULLER MOVED THAT JEFF GIBSON BE APPOINTED ASSOCIATE EDITOR OF THE KAIMIN. SECONDED BY ROBINSON. MOTION PASSED (9-0-1) WITH BROWMAN OPPOSED. *Abstaining*

Executive Session was adjourned and the regular meeting taken up.

Respectfully submitted,

*Joanne M. Hassing*  
Joanne M. Hassing,  
ASMSU Secretary